## S'TUUIES ON AUSTRALTAN MOLLUSCA. Part XII.

By C. Hedley, F.L.S.<br>(Plates xlvi.-lii.)

(Continued from Vol. xxixix., p.755.)
Arca adamsiana Dunker.
Area adamsiana Dunker, Novit. Conch. 1866, p.88, Pl. xxix., figs.4-6. Arca signata Dunker, op. cit., 1868, p.112, Pl. xxxviii., figs.3-5; Id., Lamy, Journ. de Conch, lv., 1907, p.78, Pl.i., figs. 1,2 .

This species has not hitherto been reeorded for Australia I dredged several speeimens in ten fathoms in Port Curtis, Queensland. Submitting these to Dr. Lamy, he approved of my identification of them as $A$. adamsianu, and added that they eonfirmed him in eonsidering that species identical with $A$. siguata. For while the larger of my series corresponded well to $A$. signata, the smaller agreed exactly with $A$. adamsiana.

Arca botanica, sp.nov.
(Pl. li., figs.33, 34, 35.)
Barbutia pusille Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.931, not Byssoarca pusilla Sowerby.

Shell small, very solid, pointed-oblong, inflated, flattened on the anterior-superior face, angled where this face meets the disk, eonstricted slightly abreast of the byssal gape, truncate posteriorly and attenuate anteriorly. Colour dull white or uniform clay-colour. Umbo at three-fiftlis of total length, enrolled, elosely approaehing. Area narrow-lanceolate, sunk under the high arched beaks. Seulpture: small, conieal scales set in imbricating flounces, the scales in about forty-four radials, the concentric lines about forty. The radials on the anterior face of
each valve are twelve. The scales are suppressed near the byssusexit. Teeth eighteen, of which seven are posterior, increasing in size and inclination as they recede from the centre. Length, 15 ; height, 7 ; breadth of conjoined valves, 7 mm .

This species is common under stones at low tide-level in the Hormosira-zone, all along the coast of New South Wales. It has hitherto lacked a name throngh misidentification with a Peruvian species. A member of the subgenns Acar, it nearest approaches the tropical A. plicata Dillwyn (founded on Chemnitz, Conch. Cab., xi., Pl. 204, fig.2008). From that, it is distinguishable by finer sculpture, especially by the smaller and more numerous radials of the autero-superior face, by shorter broader form, and by far smatler size. Compared with the Adelaidean Arca laminata Angas,* it is smaller, more compact, and delicately sculptured.

## Arca metella, sp.nov.

(Plate li., figs.36, 37.)
Shell small, rather thin, inflated, oblique, medially constricted, especially in the young, and in the left valve. Colour dull white. Anterior margin obliquely truncate, projecting past the hinge-line. Ventral margin insinuate, ascending posteriorly till it meets the hinge-line at a right angle. Umbo at one-third of the total length from the posterior end, low and slightly projecting over the area. Fine, close, radial threads, about seventy in number, are crossed by similar threads about thinty in number, and develop a bead at the point of intersection. Ligamental area narrow-lanceolate, from the umbo a few oblique furrows cross the area anteriorly. The hinge has seven posterior and ten anterior teeth; those remote from the centre are inclined. Length, 10 ; height, $5 \cdot 5$; depth of single valve, 3 mm .

Numerous separate valves, associated with Arca strabo, were dredged by myself in 100 fathoms off Wollongong, and in 80 fathoms off Narrabeen, N. S. Wales.

[^0]As Dr. Lamy kindly points out to me, this shell has a general resemblance to Arca nodulosa Müller, from the North Atlantic. The Australian shell is, however, sharply cancellated, while the radial lines of $A$. nodulusa are broken before reaching the concentric. Our shells are also much smaller and rather more inflated.

Chama fibula Reeve.
Chama fibula Reeve, Conch. Icon., iv., 1846, Pl. v., fig.27; Id., Melvill \& Standen, Journ. Linn. Soc. Zool., xxvii., 1899, p.193. Chama jukesii Reeve, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1846 (1847), p.120; Id., Conch. Icon., iv., 1847, Pl. vii., fig.39; Id., Schmeltz, Cat. Mus. Godeff., v., 1874, p.172; Id., Smith, Chall. Rep. Zool., xiii., 1885, p.172. Chama pellis-phoce Reeve, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1846 (1847), p.118; Id., lieeve, Conch. Icon., iv., 1847, Pl. ix., fig.54; Id., Melvill \& Standen, op. cit., p.193. C. bellisphocce Clessin, Conch. Cab., 1889, p.37, Pl.17, fig.I. Chama spinosa Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p. 925 (not Broderip). Chama reflexa Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1871, p. 100 (not Reeve).

A small Chama is not uncommon on stones between tidemarks in the sheltered parts of Sydney Harbour. It is firmly attached by the greater part of the left valve, the opercular valve usually pitched at a high inclination to the base; it is orbicular, with a sculpture of small, crowded, erect, subtubular scales, and attains a diameter of 45 mm . I have dredged this species also in Port Curtis and off Cape York, Queensland. No other species of this genus comes as far south as Sydney.

The first mention of Chama from this State is Lamarck's note that the variety (b) of C. ruderalis comes from Port Jackson. Both Delessert and Chenu* illustrate C.ruderalis as attached by the apex of the right valve. In size and general appearance, it is conformable to the Sydney shell. In South and West Australia, there is a species which is usually sinistral, and exceptionally dextral, and may, therefore, be Lamarck's species. If Chenu's figs. $2 \mathrm{~b}, \stackrel{\imath}{ } \mathrm{c}$ represent Lamarck's var. (b), then the locality ascribed to it is erroneous.

[^1]The next records of Chama were by Angas, who, in his catalogue of Port Jackson shells, included C. spinosa Broderip, in 1867, and C. reflexa Reeve, in 1871. C. spinosa was described from Lord Hood's Island, or Marutea, in the Paumotus, and its appearance here is improbable. It seems to be based on a shell too young to display specific characters. C. reflexa is from Darnley Island or Erub, Torres Strait. The figure of it does not well agree with the Sydney shell. From Cape York, Smith identified $C$. jukesii as taken by the "Challenger," and unites to these, as synonyms, C. fibnla Reeve, and C'. pellis-phocte Reeve. The two latter are again recorded from this area by Melvill \& Standen.

Of these three, C. fibula was published in December, 1846, and must, therefore, take precedence over C. jukesii and C. pellis-phoca. "Preliminary" descriptions of the two latter were issued 27 th January, 1847, in the Proceedings of the Zoological Society, but perhaps subsequent to their appearance in the Iconica in January, 1847.

In the case of another Queensland species, Chama pulchella, Reeve seems to have intruded not only on the prior C'. lobata Broderip, and the Lamarckian C.damecornis, but on the original Linnean Chama lazarus.

There is yet another species described by Reeve as $C$. nivalis, which Jukes procured in Queensland, and which seems to me likely to be preoccupied by Lamarck's Chama limbula.*

Such items as these support Cooke's contention that, in Chama, "Reeve has made fifty-five species out of material prubably better represented by ten." $\dagger$

## Lucinida hilaira, sp.nov. <br> (Plate li., figs. 38, 39.)

Shell rather thin, inflated, truncate-circular. Colour dull white. The lunule-side is straight, cutting off a segment from the circle which the margin of the valve otherwise describes.

[^2]Umbo small, incurved. Lunule rather broad, margined by a shallow groove, beyond which is a low broad fold. Sculpture : irregular, weak, concentric growth-lines, across which runs a series of delicate and superficial radial scratches. Edge of valve smooth and thin. Height, 18; length, 19; depth of single valve, 6 mm .

Mab.-Off Montagu Island, 7-10 fathoms, February, 1916, (type), self. Also separate valves from the ocean-beaches round Sydney.

In general appearance, L. hilaira is like Lucina perobliqua Tate, but that is larger, more solid, more inflated, with more regular, concentric sculpture.

Solecardia cryptozoica, n.sp.
(Plate xlvi., fig.1; Pl. li., fig.40.)
Scintilla anomala Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867 (1868), p.928; not S. anomala Deshayes, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1855 (1856), p.181; nor S. anomala Deshayes, Explan. Pl. xlix., figs.13-15, Anim. s. vert. Bassin de Paris, i., 1860.

Shell thin, translucent, of a milky colour, subequilateral, oblong in outline when young but becoming ovate when aged. When in contact ventrally, the valves are slightly apart dorsally. Ventral margins slightly curved, anterior end rounded, posterior produced. In the centre, the valve is tumid, but, dorsally, the margins are compressed and elevated in an arched crest on either side of the umbo, which projects prominently. Surface glossy, under the lens a few faint concentric threads appear, and the dorsal margins are slightly vermiculate. Length, 11; height, 8 mm .

The animal is of a milky translucency, in pale examples tipped with lemon, in darker specimens the mantle-margin and the longer tentacles are orange, sometimes the most prominent tentacles are tipped with scarlet. The foot is long and narrow, and is thrust far out, thus enabling the animal to progress rapidly. A thin transparent membrane, an outgrowth of the mantle, is spread over most, if not all, of the shell. On it are numerous papill:e which, round the margin, develop into tentacles. At each
dorsal angle is a particularly long, slender horn. Similar processes on the mantle are shown in a sketch of Sciutilla chilkaensis Preston.* Posteriorly, the mantle develops into two voluminous flaps. This gregarious species shelters under stones at low water in the mud-zone in Middle Harbour, Sydney, in companies of a dozen or so.

Following the identification of G. F. Angas, this species has locally been received as Scintilla anomula. But it does not agree with the figure of that species in the Thesaurus; and Mr. H. B. Preston, who compared the type in the British Museum with Sydney specimens, assures me that they are distinct.

## Cardium rackettil Jonovan.

Cardium rackettii Donovan, Naturalist's Repository, iv., 1826, Pl.124. Cardium radiatum Reeve, and Cardium pallidum Reeve, Conch. Icon., ii., 1545, Pl. xviii., figs.89, 92. C'ardium tenuicostutum of authors, but not of Lamarek and Delessert.

No mention is made of Cardium rackettii in any of the monographs or catalogues dealing with the genus Cardium. Yet it was excellently figured, described, and localised, as being the size of the ordinary European Cardium echinatum, having 47 ribs, and obtained by Humphrey in New South Wales. It is obviously a species common round Sydney, a particularly fine example of which before me, from the Harbour, is 48 mm . in height and in breadth, and has 49 ribs. By Forbes, it was reported from Sydney as C. radiatum, and, by both Angas and Smith, as C. tenuicostatum. $\dagger$

But Cardium tenuicostatum was described by Lamarck from Timor and New Holland as a shell 56 mm . in diameter, with 48 ribs. The figure which Delessert gave (Pl. xi., fig.6) from a Lamarckian specimen exhibits a shell of a quite different contour from C'. rackettii, and disagrees with the figures which Sowerby, Hanley, Reeve, and Roemer have produced as of $C$. temuicostatum. It is now suggested that the real C. tenuicostatum has been lost

[^3]to view, and that the later Australian references to it should be transferred to C'. rackettii Donovan.

Cardium cygnorum Deshayes.
(Plate lii., fig.41.)
Cardium cygnorum Deshayes, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1854 (May, 1855), p.331; Id., Angas, op. cit., 1865, p.651; Id., Tryon, Am. Journ. Conch., vii., 1872 , suppl., p.261; Id., Tenison-Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1877, p.53; Id., Tate, 'Trans. Roy. Soc. S.A., ix., 1887, p.95; Id., Tate \& May, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxvi., 1901, p.430; Id., Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xvi., 1903 , p. 135.

Though this species has been frequently cited in literature, it has not yet been figured. A specimen 50 mm . high and 42 mm . long, from St. Vincent's Gulf, S. Australia, identified by Mr. E. A. Smith, is, therefore, here illustrated. The range of this species is now announced to extend into New South Wales. I have dredged a living specimen, $26 \times 28 \mathrm{~mm}$., in $7-10$ fathoms, on sandy ground, under Montagu Island.

## Tridacna gigantea Perry.

Chama gigas Linné (in part only) Syst. Nat., x., 1758, p. 691 (fide Hanley, Ips. Linn. Conch., 1855, p.85); Id., Born, Test. Mus. Cæs., 1780, p.80; Id., Chemnitz, Conch. C'ab., vii., 1784 , p.111, Pl.49, figs.492-4. "La Faitière," Cubières, Histoire Abrégé des Coquillages de Mer, 1798, p.148. Chama gigantea Perry, Conchology, 1811 , p.2. Chama gigas Flinders, Voy. 'Terr. Austr., ii., 1814, p.ll4. T'ridacna gigas Lamarck, An.s. vert., vi., 1819, p.105; Id., Ten.-Woods, these Proceedings, v., 1880, p.125; Id., Kobelt, Nachr. deut. Malak. Gesell., xv., 1883, p.189; Id., Kent, Great Barrier lieef, 1893, p.44, Pl. xxix.; Id., Smith, Proc. Malac. Soc. iii., 1898 , p.112; Id., Hedley, Nautilus, xv., 1902, p.98; Id., Banfield, "Confessions of a Beachcomber," 1908, p.138. Tridacna lamarcki Hidalgo, Mem. Real Acad. Cienc. Madrid, xxi., 1903, p. 385.

Under the name of Chama giyas, the Father of Natural History seems to have embraced the whole of the modern genus

Tridacna. For the name gigas, as restricted to a single species, the candidates are the shell subsequently named squamosa by Lamarck, and a huge species whose valves, in the Ulrica Museum, together weighed 498 lbs.

After careful examination, Hanley decided that the furbelowed clam, such as Reeve has figured (Conch. Icon., xiv., 1862, 'Tridacna, Pl. iii.) for T' squamosa, ought rightly to bear the name of gigas. He based his verdict on the ground that the actual shell owned by Linné as representing gigas, is the Lamarckian squamosa, and that to this apply most of the literary references. Linnean contemporaries, such as Born, Regenfuss, and Chemnitz, while making casual reference to the giant, all agree in figuring and describing squamosa as the Linnean gigas.

Discriminating in 1819 between the species his predecessors had confused, Lamarck unlawfully used the name gigas for the largest form, while for the Limnean gigas he proposed squamosa. Attentive to the remarks of Hanley, Hidalgo, in 1903, renamed the biggest species $T^{\prime}$. lamarcki. But in 1811, Perry had already used the name Chama gigantea for "The largest shell at present known . . . . a Bivalve about three feet in length, one foot and a half in breadth, the shell itself being four or five inches thick."

As the young of the giant has not yet been traced to the adult, it is still possible that squamosa is a juvenile deeper-water form of the large intertidal and abraded giyantea.

The size to which this species ultimately attains is, as Kent and Banfield remark, a favourite subject for romance among travellers. After some inquiries, Smith concluded that the largest authentic record was that by Dillwyn of a Sumatran pair which weighed 507 lbs., and of which the largest valve was four feet six inches long, two feet five and a half inches high, and one foot deep. The heaviest known are a pair weighing 550 lbs ., which, Cubières and Lamarck relate, were presented by the Venetian Republic to Francis I. These still exist, their edges bound with brass, as holy-water basins in the cathedral of St. Sulpice, in Paris.

The photographs of Saville Kent show the giant clams in their natural position on the Great Barrier Reef, where they occur
free and exposed at low tide, standing on their umbones, and showing their brightly coloured mantle and so-called eyes* as they gape. They were reported by Capt. Cook as "cockles of so enorinous a size that one of them was more than two men could eat." $\dagger$

Kobelt has noticed that Javan specimens were infested by Pinnotheres, a commensal crustacean.

Flinders described how the valves of this giant cockle were used as tanks on Half-way Island or Parima, a waterless cay in Torres Strait. To each shell, the rain-water was led from Pandanus trees by gutters, long slips of bark, so that a shower would pour two or three pints into each for the use of native canoevoyagers.

## Dosinia crocea Deshayes.

Dosinia crocea Deshayes, Brit. Mus. Cat., 1853, p. 8 ; Id., Roemer, Monogr., 1862, p.71, Pl. xiii., fig.4: Id., Tate, Trans. Roy. Soc. S.A., ix., 1887, p.94; Id., Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xvi., 1903, p.133; Id., May, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1915, p. 80.

This species is now added to the fauna of New South Wales on the strength of specimens I dredged in 7-10 fathoms under Montagu Island.

## Gafrarium quoyi Hanley.

Cytherea scripta var. quoyi Hanley, Recent Shells, 1844, p. 11 bis, Pl. xv., fig.25. Circe quoyi Sowerby, Thes. Conch., ii., 1853, p.758. Circe lenticultaris Deshayes, Cat. Conchif. Brit. Mus., June, 1853, p.85; Id., Proc. Zool. Soc., 1853 (June 27, 1854), p.7; Id., Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., 1863, Pl. ii., fig.7; Id., Roemer, Monog. Venus, 1869, p.200, Pl. lv., fig.1; Id., von Martens, Zool. Forsch. Semon, 1894, p. 94 . Circe trigona Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., 1863 , Pi. iii., fig.12. Circe rivularis Sowerby, Thes. Conch., ii., 1853, p.652, Pl.139, figs.46-48; Id., Hanley,

[^4]Recent Shells, 1856, p.355; Id., Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., 1864 , Pl. i., fig. 3 (not Venus rivuluris Born, Index Mus. Cres. Vind., li78, p.59, and Test. ect., 1780, p.72, Pl. v., fig.7). Circe undatina Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p. 922 (? Venus undatina Lamarck, An. s. vert., v., 1818 , p.575; ? Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., $1864, \mathrm{Pl}$. i., fis.1c). Circe personata Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., 1864, Pl.2, fig.6; Id., Rocmer, Monog. Venus, 1869, Pl. liv., figs.la, lb, not 1c; Id., Schmeltz, Cat. Gorleff. Mus., v., 1875, p. 169 (not C. personata Desh., Cat. Conch. Brit. Mus., 1853, p.84). Circe sugillata. Reeve, Conch. Icon., xiv., $1864, \mathrm{Pl}$. iii., fig.ll. Circe scripta Chenu, Illustr. Conch., 1847 , Pl. xi., figs. $8,8 \mathrm{Sa}, \mathrm{Sb}$ only ; Id., Smith, Chall. Exped. Zool., xiii., 1885, p. 140 ; Id., Roth, N. Qld. Ethn. Bull., iii., 1901, p 18; Id, Hedley, these Proceedings, xxxi., 1906, p. 466 (not Venus scripta Linné, Syst. Nat., x., 1758, p.680).

The "Challenger" Expedition reported Circe scripta Linn., as taken in 4-18 fathoms in Port Jackson. It is difficult to decide what shell ought to bear this name. For none of the figures cited by Linné in the original account of 1758 harmonise with any shell known in modern times as Circe scripta. He quoted first the Chama litterata rotuuda of Rumphins,* which is a rounder, smoother shell than a Circe, and might pass as well for Lioconcha fastigiata. Hanley statedj that a specimen in the Linnean cabinet corresponds to Sowerby's illustration of $C$. scripta, Thes. Conch., ii., 1844, Pl.139, fig.38. This form(=albida Deshayes) occurs, I believe, in Queensland; it differs from the Sydney shell in shape and colour.

Thus, whether $C$. scripta is to be identified from the figures to which Linné referred, or whether the shell owned by Linné, and noted by Hanley, is to be taken for the foundation of the species, we must equally exclude $C$. scripte from the local fauna. Having arrived at the conclusion that "this shell (usually termed the Scripta of Australia) is completely distinet from the true scripta of Linnæus," Hanley suggested for it "the name of Quoyi, in

[^5]honour of the naturalist who has so successfully investigated the invertebrata of that portion of the globe." But he again described this species on p. 355 under the name of Cytherea rivularis Born. The Sydney shell, which has a smooth ventral margin, and so belongs to the section Circe, as restricted by Jukes Browne, * appears to have been figured by Sowerby and Reeve as Circe rivularis Born. But Brauer $\dagger$ has determined Born's type of rivularis to be Circe crocea Gray, as figured by Roemer, Pl. lvi., fig 2c. This is different from C. quoyi, being more inflated, with coarser, umbonal, oblique folds.

Schmeltz has identified the Sydney shell as C. personata Deshayes, 1853, but that was founded on a Nicobar species figured by Chemnitz (Vol. vii., figs. $420-426$ ), and was already named Circe violacea by Schumacher in 1817. This, and not scripta Linn., was the type of the genus Circe. Perhaps the figures of personata supplied by later authors were derived from Australian shells. Angas referred the Sydney shell to Cytherea undatina Lamk. This may be correct, and, if so, would take precedence over quoyi or other names. But the literary history of this is too meagre and contradictory for adoption. For Roemer's figure of it agrees neither with Lamarck's description of the colour-pattern, nor with Deshayes' determination of it as scripta. Possibly Lamarck's species might be the neglected Venus Wauaria Gmelin, 1791.

Adams has figured $\ddagger C$. quoyi as the host of Myochama stutchburyi.

As the best expression of typical New South Wales G. quoyi, Roemer's fig.l, Plate liv., may be selected. A variety from $N$. W. Australia is shown by Reeve's Pl. i., fig.3a. A well marked related form is C. trigona Reeve, 1863, not yet reported as Australian, but here noted as from Stradbroke and Facing Islands, Queensland. It is shown by Roemer's Pl.õ3, fig. $\stackrel{2}{ }$, as Circe plicatina.

[^6]
## Marcia nitida Quoy \& Gaimard. <br> (Plate xlvi., figs.2, 3 )

Chione uitida Hedley, these Proceerlings, xxix., 1904, p. 194.
This species attains a larger size than is usually recognised. One specimen I gathered is 56 mm . long, and 37 high, the conjoined valves being 27 mm . deep. It occurs alive at low tide in sand on the margin of a Zostera-flat by the Middle Harbour Sand-Spit. The animal has a long, tongue-shaped, orange-coloured foot. The siphons are buff streaked and spotted with black; they are of equal length and deeply divided, their apertures fringed with digitate papillæ. The exhalant has, besides, a lobe which acts as a lid. The mantle-margin is finely fringed with papille. In his review of the family, this species was, under the synonym of fumigata, included in Marcia by Jukes Browne.*

> Tellina astula, sp.nov.
> (Plate lii., figs. $42,43$. )

T'ellina uitida Perry, Conchology, 1811, Pl.lv., fig.1. Not T'ellina uitida Poli, 1791. Tellina perva Brazier,(not Spengler), these Proceedings, ii., 1877 (1878), p. 142 ; Id., Whitelegge, Proc. Roy. Soc., N. S. Wales, xxiii., 1889, p. 238.

Shell oblong acuminate, polished, convex, rose-pink, with broad radiating bands of cream, smooth except on the rostrum. Dorsal margin straight, anterior end semicircular, ventral margin arcuate. Rostrum tongue-shaped, concave above, protuberant posteriorly, end truncate, lower margin straight, horizontal, sharply bent to continue the ventral margin. The valves differ by the rostrum being bent to the riglit and having, in the right valve, a fold at its base, absent in the left. From the umbo to the extremity runs a shallow furrow. Spaced and sharply engraved concentric grooves extend in the right valve over the whole rostral area past the fold and notch, but, on the left, only from the radial furrow to the edge. The anterior side is rather longer than the posterior. Length, 155 ; height, 25 ; depth, 11 mm .

Hab.-Sow and Pigs Reef, Sydney (Brazier), Broken Bay (Hargraves), and Trial Bay (C. Laseron, N.S.II.

[^7]This has a general likeness to T'. perna, for which it has been mistaken, but $T$. astula has a much narrower rostrum, and an abrupt notch where the rostrum leaves the body. T'. pharaonis has the rostrum grooved, but both the rostrum and the rest of the valve are longer and narrower than in T'. astula. T'. consenguinea Sowerby, ${ }^{*}$ is more compressed, and the rostrum has a more upward direction.

## Spisula trigonella Lamarck.

Mactra trigonella Lamarck, An. s. vert., v., 1818, p.479; Id., Lamy, Bull Mus. Hist. Nat., 1914, p.245. Gnathodon parvum Petit, Journ. de Conch., iv., 1853, p.358, Pl. xiii., figs. 9, 10. Spisula parva Dall, Proc. U. S. Nat. Mus., xvii., 1894, p. 106 ; Id., Hedley, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxvi., 1902, p 707, Pl. xxxiv., figs.2, 3 (hinge); Id., Smith, Proc. Malac. Soc., xi., 1914, p. 146.

Mactra trigonella was gathered by Péron at Shark's Bay, W.A., and was named by Lamarck. For nearly a century, his unfigured type has lain unobserved in the Paris Museum. Dr. Lamy has lately disinterred it, and declares it identical with Spisula parva, which younger name must now be superseded.

## Amphidesma angusta Reeve.

(Plate xlvi., fig.4.)
Mesodesmu angusta Reeve, Conch. Icon., viii., July 1854, Pl. i., fig.3; Id., Deshayes, Proc. Kool. Soc., 1854 (May, 1855), p.338; Id., Tate, Trans. lioy. Soc. S.A., xxi., 1897, p.46; Id., Lamy, Journ. de Conch., lxii., 1914, pp.37, 38, fig.2. Mesodesma elongata Reeve, Conch. Tcon., viii., 1854 , Pl. i., fig.5; Id., Deshayes, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1854 (1855), p.337; Id., Tate, Trans. Roy. Soc. S.A., ix., 1887 , p. 85 ; Id., Tate \& May, these Proceedings, xxvi., 1901, p.424; Id., Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xvi., 190.3, p.110. Donacilla elongata Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1865 , p.647, and 1867 , p.220; Id., Tryon, Am. Journ. Conch., iv., Suppl., 1868, p.126; Id., Ten.-Woods, Proc Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1877, p. 50.

[^8]It has already been reported by Prof. Tate that M. angustco and M. elongata are synonyms, an observation which, from examination of their respective types, [ can confirm. As the notices in the Conchologica Iconica were published a year before those in the Zoological Proceedings, it follows that angust/ must be credited to Reeve, and must also take precedence over elongata. 'To the list of Tasmanian species wrongly credited to Raine Island (antea, xxxviii., p.268), A. elongata can now be added.

This species is common round Sydney. Its habit is to lie in the sand in the wash of the sea. When the drag of the waves uncovers it, there is a sparkle of yellow, a scramble, two or three quick stabs of the foot, and the bivalve is again buried. The foot protrudes to a length equal to that of the shell; it is flat, cordate-acuminate, buff with a pink tinge. The exhalant siphon seems to be always exserted further than its fellow; the orifice is beset with a few, small, digitate papillæ. The inhalant siphon issues at the angle of the shell; as far as I could observe it in captivity, it extends only a third of the length of the other siphon, and has the expanded orifice fringed with fine, pinnatifid processes.

Mr. T. Dick sends me a specimen of $A$. angusta from Hort Macquarie, which he found in process of heing bored by Polinices incei.

## Amphidesma cuneata Lamarck.

Crassatella cuneata Lamarck, Au. s. vert., v., 1818, p.483; Id., Lamy, Bull. Mus. Hist. Nat., 1912, p.248, text.fig. (not of Sowerby, Reeve, Hanley, or Tate). Amphidesma glabrella Lamarck, op. cit., p.493; Id., Lamy, op. cit, p.253; Id., Lamy, Journ. de Conch., 1xi., 1913, p.322; Id., Blainville, Man. de Malac., 1827, Pl.78, fig.6; Id., Tate, Trans. Roy. Soc. S.A., xxi., 1897, p.46. Mesodesma gaymardi Deshayes, Encycl. Méth., vers, ii., 1835, p. 444 (fide Lamy). Mesodesma precisa Reeve, Conch. Icon., vii., 1854, Pl.4, fig.31; Id., Deshayes, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1854 (May, 1855), p.338. Mesodesma obtusa Crosse \& Fischer, Journ. de Conch., xii., 1864, p.350, and xiii., 1865, p.428, Pl. xi., fig.4; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc, 1867, p. 920.

This is another case in which Australian conchologists have beneited by the examination of Lamarck's types by Dr. Ed. Lamy, of Paris. This southern species is dwarfed, and scarce in this latitude, which makes it improbable that Melvill \& Standen were correct in identifying M. precisa from Albany Pass. The first record of this from our State was a note by Angas that he had found Donacilla obtusa at The Spit, Middle Harbour. Tate ascertained that this name was a synonym of M. procisa and M. glabrella. The unfigured C. cuneata had been generally ascribed, as in Reeve's Iconica, to Anapella cycladea ( $=$ Spisula adelaide Angas), but the figure of the type, lately published by Dr. Lamy, has corrected this error. This species varies a good deal in outline.

Lingula rostrum Shaw.
Mytilus rostrum Shaw, Nat. Miscell., ix., 1797, Pl.315, two upper figures. Pharetra monoculoides Bolten, Mus. Bolt., (2), 1798, p.159, for Chemn. Conch. Cab., x., 1788, p 360, Pl. 172, figs.1675-77. Ligula unguis Cuvier, Tab. Elem., 1798, p. 435.

Lingula anatina Lamarck, Syst. An. s. vert., 1801, p.141, for Lingula sp. Bruguière, Encycl. Méth. vers, 1797, Pl.250, fig.l,a, b, c; Id., Davidson, Trans. Linn. Soc. Zool, iv., 1888, p.206, Pl. xxix., figs.l-8; Id., von Martens, Forsch. Gazelle, iii., 1889, p. 263. Lingula hians Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.935; Id., Whitelegge, Proc Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xxiii, 1889, p. 294.

The type of the brachiopod genus Lingula is usually known by Lamarck's name of anatina. There are, however, other names whose claims deserve consideration. Linné included, under "Patella unguis," references both to a Scutus and to a Lingula. According to Hanley,* it is the former, based on a figure of Rumphius, which should carry the Linnean name.

From the Museum Gerversianum, there is cited by Dillwyn for this species Anatifera luzona of Meuschen.

A Philippine form was well figured and legitimately named Mytilus rostrum by shaw in 1797, a name which, though left for

[^9]a century in obscurity, appears the rightful heir to the title. In the following year, Bolten proposed a binomial for some excellent figures published polynomially by Chemnitz. The generally accepted name by Lamarck was not advanced till 1801.

The genus-name Lingula appeared rather irregularly as a heading for a single species on a plate in the Encyclopédie Méthodique. If this is ruled out, then we shall have to fall back on Bolten's Pharetra.

The species have been discriminated usually from dry and probably distorted material. Little attention has been given to change in appearance in different stages of growth. It may be, therefore, still a matter for investigation whether the names assigned to Australian forms, L. tumidula Reeve, L. murphianu Reeve, L. exnsta Reeve, and L. hirundo Reeve, represent distinct species, geographical races, or growth-forms of a single species.
L. anatina was recognised from Moreton Bay by Dr. E. von Martens. Some fifty years ago, Angas gathered, in Middle Harbour, a species of Lingzla which he determined as L hians. Brazier has noted, in Whitelegge's List, additional localities fur this.

## A Revision of Australasian Tugalia.

Of Tugalia, there are two species in New Zealand, and two others in Australia. Confusion has enveloped this small group; for under the name of $T$. parmophoidea, or its various renderings, each of the other species has in turn been included. The identity of the genotype has thus been obscured. Monographs in the Thesaurus and Conchologica Iconica, by transfer of names to wrong genera, species, and localities, by omission, and disunion, constructed a labyrinth of error which has entangled conchologists for half a century. Thus, in 1867, Emarginnta ossea Gould, from Fiji, was substituted for the totally different T'. parmophoidea from Sydney by Angas. In 1883, Brazier re-distributed the names of three species incorrectly. As late as 1903, Pritchard \& Gatliff reflected current opinion by presenting, under the head of T. parmophoidea, a tangled, heterogenous mass of intermedia, elegans, tusmunica, and anstralis.

It is hoped that the figures of the four species concerned, now placed side by side for comparison, will relieve the misunderstanding that has hitherto prevailed.

## Tugalia internedia Reeve.

(Plate lii., fig.44.)
Parmophorus intermedius Reeve, Conch. Syst., ii. 1842, p.22, Pl. cxxxix., figs.5, 6; Id., Reeve, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1842, p.50; Id., Hutton, Proc. Limn. Soc. N. S. Wales, ix., 1884, p. 371 . Subemarginula intermedia Suter, Man. N.Z. Moll., 1913, p.102, Pl.8, fig.6. T'ugalia cinerea Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1863, p.221, Pl.249, fig.15. T'ugalia parmophoridea Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1863, p.221, Pl.249, fig.16; Id., Sowerby, Conch. Jcon., xvii., 1870, Pl.i., fig.4a, not 4b; Id, Hutton, Man. N.Z. Moll., 1880, p. 106. Subemarginula parmophoidea Harris, Cat. 'Tert. Moll. Brit. Mus., i., 1897, p.290. Tugali elegans Gray, in Dieffenbach, Travels in N.Z., ii., 1843, p.240; Id., Revue Zool., 1844, p.355; Id., von Martens, Crit. List N.Z. Mollusca, 1873, p.35. Not Parmophorus elegans Gray, Amnals of Philos., ix., 1825, p. 140 ( $=$ Scutus unyuis Linn.).

Hitherto, this species has not been clearly differentiated from T'. parmophoidea. The New Zealand form is readily distinguishable by having the apex considerably nearer to the margin than has the Australian shell. In T. intermedia, the sculpture is finer, the shell is not so tall, and has a more marked sinus at the anterior margin. Otherwise the two are much alike in size, shape, and general appearance. The pair represent one another on each side of the Tasman Sea, which neither crosses.

In T. elegans, the concentric sculpture is described as forming arched ribs across the radial strie, a point which, supported by an exact locality, Great Barrier Island, fixes the identity of the species. On the Barrier Island shell, Gray founded a new genus, which he spelt Tugali, apparently a misprint later corrected by himself to T'ugalia.*

[^10]By A. Adams,* T. elegains was wrongly referred to North Africa, and subsequent authors have mostly lost sight of the name.

The specimen figured is 29 mm . long, and was collected by Mr. H. Hill, at Wellington, New Zealaud.

Tugalia parmophoidea quoy \& Gaimard.
(Plate lii., fig. 45. )
Emarginula parmophoidea Q. \& G., Zool. Astrolabe, iii., 1835, p.325, Pl.68, figs. 15, 16. Clypidina parmophoroidea Chenu, Man. Conch., i., 1859, p.373, figs.2798-99. T'ugalia parmophoridea Sowerby, Conch. Icon., xvii., 1871, Pl. i., fig.4b, not 4a. Emarginula parmophoidea Watson, Chall. Rep. Zool., xv., 1886, p. 35. Tugalia parmophoidea (in part) Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xv., 1903, p.190. Subemarginula parmophoroides Shirley, Proc. Roy. Soc. Q'land, xxiii., 1911, p.96. T'ugalia ossea Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1863, p.221, Pl.249, fig.18; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.219. Tugalia austratis Ten.Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1876 (1877), p.44; Id., Hardy, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1915, p.63. Tugalia intermedia Brazier, Proc. Linn. Soc. N. S. Wales, viii., 1883, p. 227.

This shell was, by Quoy \& Gaimard, reported from New Holland. Their account suits a species from New South Wales, with which their name has been generally associated. But the name has also been applied to other members of the genus.

Angas misidentified the Sydney Tugatia as T'. ossect Gould, a species of another genus. Detecting this error, and probably associating T'. parmophoidea with the New Zealand form, TenisonWoods proceeded to rename the Sydney shell as $T^{\prime}$. australis.

T'. parmophoidea inhabits the coast of South Queensland and New South Wales, but fails, so Mr. W. L. May tells me, to reach Tasmania. A statement by Angas, that it occurs in South Australia, seems to need confirmation. The specimen figured is 27 mm . long, and was collected by myself at Cape Byron, N. S. Wales.

[^11]Tugalia cicatricosa A. Adams.
(Plate lii., fig.46.)
T'ugali cicatricosa A. Adams, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1851 (1852) p.89; Id., Angas, op. cit., 1865, p.185. T'ugalia cicatrosa Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1863, p.222, Pl.249, fig.14; Id., Conch. Icon., xvii., 1870, Pl i., fig.7. T'ugalia tasmanica Ten.-Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1876 (1877), p. 156.

Like T. intermedia, this species was first erroneously ascribed to the Philippine Islands. Angas recognised it from Port Lincoln. I have seen it from Ulverstone, Tasmania; Neptune Islands, South Australia; and Geographe Bay, West Australia. This distribution suggests that it will prove to be the Adelaidean representative of the Peronian T'. parmophoidea. Compared with that, T. cicatricosa is more depressed, with the sides more parallel; the apex nearer to the margin, is more notched anteriorly, and has a much coarser sculpture. A scar on the summit, which suggested the name, was an individual and accidental feature of the type-shell. It is by chance repeated in a specimen before me, and was probably caused by adherence of a Capulus or some such associate. The specimen figured is 18 mm . long, and was collected in North Tasmania, by Miss M. Lodder.

## Tugalia bascauda, sp.nov.

## (Plate lii., fig.47.)

Shell small, solid, oblong. Colour milk-white. Surface glossy. Sculpture : about 50 radial cords are crossed by 25 similar concentric cords, beaded at the points of intersection, and enclosing deep, square pits as meshes. From the apex, a radial of double breadth and height runs anteriorly along the median line. The edge of the aperture is crenulated by the radials. Length, 12 breadth, 8 ; height, 3 mm .

The specimen drawn (type) was collected by the late $\mathrm{Mr}, \mathrm{R}$. Helms, under stones, near Wellington, New Zealand. I have also seen specimens from the Chatham Islands, labelled Tugalia elegans by Capt. F. W. Hutton. It is apparently the species cited by Mr. Suter in the Manual of New Zealand Mollusca as

Subemarginula parmophoidea, now shown to be a different Australian species.

Hemitoma aspera Gould.
(Plate xlvi., fig.6.)
In the last Part of these Studies (antea, xxxix, p. 707), it was stated that, though in ordinary use, Subemarginula was untenable Relying on a defective entry in Scudder, Montfortia was selected to replace it. Messrs. W. C. Clapp and T. Iredale have kindly written to say that the name Rafinesque proposed was not Hemitoma, as Scudder quotes, but Hemiloma. Therefore the Hemitoma of Swainson, not Montfortia of Récluz, must take the place of Subemarginula. For the group of H. ruyosa, Mr. Iredale has introduced Montfortula.*
H. aspera inhabits the crevices among the compacted tubes of Guleolaria, $\dagger$ where it is common near Sydney. When warned, it can cling tenaciously to its foothold. Its movements are deliberate; both head and tail can be protruded beyond the shell. Such parts as are exposed, the back of the head, tentacles, siphonal pipe, and upper surface of hind foot, are black; the rest is buff, which, on the mouth and muzzle, brightens to lemonyellow. The muzzle is rather long, with broadly expanding lip, notched beneath. The tentacles are long and tapering; they search actively in various directions; at the outer base of each is a short, digitate, ocular tentacle with a large, black eye. On the right side of this, there is, in the male, a curved, cephalic appendage. Along the epipodial line, but interrupted between the tentacles, runs a series of small, short filaments. When the animal leans forward, the ctenidia are exposed; these are worn folded, the edges donbled together on the inside and the stem outside. Beyond the gill-tips, the mantle is slit, its edges coalescing in a short tube external to the shell. The thickened fringe of the mantle-margin is produced into an inner and an outer series of tufts of compound papillæ, the outer ones lying in the crenulations of the shell-rim.

[^12]
## Clanculus aloysif Tenison-Woods.

Clanculus aloysii Ten.-Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1875 (1876), p.155; Id., Hardy, op. cit., 1915, p.62; Id, Pilsbry, Man. Conch., xi., 1889, p.59, Pl. xiv., figs.20-23; Id., Tate \& May, these Proceedings, xxvi., 1901, p.400; Id., Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xiv., 1902, p.121; Id., Chapman \& Gabriel, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xxvi., 1914, p. 316.

This Tasmanian species is now found to extend north into New South Wales. I dredged specimens in 7-10 fathoms near Montagu Island. Also unrecorded for the State is Clanculus plebeius Philippi, which I have collected in Twofold Bay.

Monodonta obtusa Dillwyn.
(Plate xlvii., fig.12.)

Trochus obtusus Dillwyn, Descrip. Cat., ii., 1817, p.809, for Chemnitz, Conch. Cab., xi., 1795, p.167, Pl.196, figs.1894, 1895. Monodonta zebra Menke, Verch. Conch. Malsb., 1829, p.17; Id, Mörch, Malak. Blatt., xviii., 1871, p.125; Id., Maplestone, Month. Micros. Journ., viii., 1872, p.50, Pl. xxvi., fig.2; Id., Troschel, Gebiss Schnecken, ii., 1879, p, 232, Pl. xxiii., fig.7; Id., Smith, Zool. Coll. Alert, 1884, p.74; Id., Pilsbry, Man. Conch., xi., 1889, p.91, Pl.20, fig.20; Id., Shirley, Proc. Roy. Soc.Q'land, xxiii., 1911, p.96. Trochus teniatus Quoy \& Gaimard, Zool. Astrolabe, iii., 1834, p.249, Pl.63, figs.15-17; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool Soc., 1867, p.216; Id., Ten.-Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1877, p.43; Id., Ten.-Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. N.S. Wales, 1888, p.119. Trochocochlea multicarinata Chenu, Man. Conch., i., 1859, p.360, fig. 2676 ; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.216. Labio porcatus A. Adams, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1851 (1853), p.177; Id., A. Adams, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.(2), xii., 1853, p.207; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.216. Trochus extenuatus Fischer, Coq. Viv., 1878, p.330, Pl.103, fig. 1.

The above summary of literature shows how superfluous names may accumulate round a well known species. As one of the most common and conspicuous shells on the Sydney beach, this was naturally among the first to be sent to Europe, and described. Yet, for more than a century, the coloured figures given by

Chemnitz remained unrecognised. Lamarck seems not to have distinguished between this and its Tasmanian representative, which he called Monodonta constricta. Under his influence, Quoy \& Gaimard figured for constricta in the zoology of the Astrolabe, both the Sydney "zebra" (Plate 63, figs.23-24), and the Hobart "trochlea" (figs.26, 27). This error had a long existence, for these two were still united in 1902 by Pritchard \& Gatliff. But Quoy \& Gaimard, in partial recognition of their mistake, submitted Trochus teniatus as a name for the Port Jackson species. This name is not to be confused with T'urbo teniutus Sowerby (Tankerv. Cat., 1825, Append., p.xiii.). Chenu twenty-five years afterwards, added another name, Trochocochlea multicarinata. Mörch then pointed out that the names of these Parisian conchologists were anticipated by Monodonta zebra of Menke: a name not to be confused with Trochus zebra Wood (Index Test., 1828 , suppl., Pl. v., fig.18). For this already overburdened species, Arthur Adlams proposed Labio porcatus, and Fischer, to avoid clashing with T'rochus porcatus Philippi, (Zeit f. Malak., 1849, p.187) gave the final name of Trochus extenuatus.

Guided by a suggestion in Pilsbry's Monograph, I forwarded a series from this coast, and requested Dr. H. Lynge to compare them with the type of $T$. obtusus still preserved in the Zoological Museum of Copenhagen. That conchologist kindly replied $(30 / 4 / 16)$ that the worn and injured shell, which Chemmitz figured in 1795 , is absolutely identical with the specimens I sent from Montagu Island.

The species has a great range in colour, development of spiral keels, form and size. Typical M. obtusa is a rather depressed form, with $30-40$ close, narrow lines; this intergrades with a more abundant, broadly-banded form, webra, with about ten stripes, and with a dwarfed variety from the border of the mangrove-swamps which can be called porcata. The species ranges from Moreton Bay to Twofold Bay; its southern limit is not known to me.

Another Monodonta occurring in this State is M. concramerata Wood, 1828( = Trochus striolatus Quoy \& Gaimard, 1834, = Labio fuliginea A. Adams, 1853). I have not myself gathered this
species, but it was found at Clontarf Bay, N.S.W., by Mr. R. Helms, and was dredged by the "Challenger" Expedition. To complete the genus as developed in this State, it is now proposed to insert Gibbula picturata Adams \& Angas. Neither that nor any other Australian species seems congeneric with magus, the type of Gibbula.

Those who consider that Monolonta Lamarck, 1799, is preoccupied by Monodon Linné, 1758, will employ Labio Oken, 1815, as the generic name.

The animal of $M$.obtusa is splendidly arrayed in black and gold. The edge of the muzzle is buff, followed by, first, a band of black and then one of orange, the forehead-flaps are edged with orange, the ocular tentacles are orange below and black above, and the cervical epipodium is orange, the rest of the upper surface being black. The epipodium is differentiated into an anterior, median, and posterior portions. The latter begins just above the tail and continues a little past the operculum; it has a simple expanded margin, from beneath which spring four pairs of lash-tentacles, three of which are beside the operculum, and the fourth is planted where the cervical meets the posterior epipodium. At the base of each lash is set a stump-tentacle, forming an uneven pair like the ocular and cephalic tentacles; the three hinder tentacles are each adnate to their associate stumps, but the anterior lash is parted from its stump, while a stump without a lash stands in the median line behind the operculum. Another lonely stump is the cervical papilla, which occurs on both left and right sides. The medium epipodium or cervical lobe extends from the ocular tentacle to the anterior lash. On the right, it has a plain edge and during locomotion is curled into a makeshift siphon and extruded beyond the lip of the shell. On the left, the edge is cut up into about twenty filaments. The ocular tentacles are compressed from ahove to below and keeled laterally, thus indicating that they are overrun by the epipodium, which finds its anterior expression in a pair of forehead-flaps on the snout. Even when the animal has withdrawn into the shell, the epipodial lashes steal out from behind the operculum and softly search.

A similar arrangement of the epipodium, entire on the right, slashed in tatters on the left, is shown by Trochus pica* and T. lineatus. $\dagger$

Gena strigosa A. Adams.
(Plate xlvii., fig.11.)
Gena strigosa A. Adams, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1850, p. 37 ; Id., Sowerby, Thes. Conch., ii., 1854, p.830, Pl.173, figs.11, 12 ; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.218. Gena nigra, Brazier, Journ. of Conch., vi., 1889, p. 72 (not of Quoy \& Gaimard).

Mr. T. Iredale was kind enough to compare critically a series of specimens from Sydney with the unlocalised types, three specimens, of $G$. strigosa in the South Kensington Museum. He reports that my set agreed with types in size, shape, and general colouration; and concludes that Gena strigosa is the correct name for the Sydney species. He also considers that it does not, as has been indicated, extend to the tropical Indian Ocean.

The favourite haunt of this animal is under rather large boulders in the Hormosira-zone. It crawls rapidly, seeking always to escape from the light. Behind the shell, the large muscular foot extends for more than half the total length of the animal. It is liable to break off by self-mutilation just behind the shell, if the animal is annoyed. It is covered with small, large, and sometimes compound tubercles. The shell is wholly, or partly, overspread by the large mantle, also bearing small and branched processes. Thin, smooth lobes of the mantle protrude on the right and on the left as a scoop or pipe, acting as siphons. Posterior to these are epipodial lobes, three on each side, retracted and exserted from pockets. Beside each lash arises a conspicuous branched process, apparently the homologue of the stump of Monodonta. As is usual when the foot is so tubercular, the epipodial line is indistinct. In addition to the three lateral filaments, the epipodium is displayed above the muzzle as a slashed fringe, sometimes separate, sometimes united. Cephalic tentacles long and slender, each with an external ocular stump. Nuzzle broad and produced, fringed towards the neck.

[^13]$\dagger$ Randles, Quart. Journ. Micro. Sci., xlviii., 1904, Pl. iv., fig. 7.

I suppose that in forming Plocamotis, Fischer was misled by a defective sketch of Arthur Adams, and that it is an absolute synonym of Gena.

## Scutus astrolabeus, nom.mut.

Parmophorus australis Quoy \& Gaimard, Zool. Astrolabe, iii., 1834, p.321, Pl.69, figs.1-4; Id., Menke, Moll. Nov. Holl., 1834, p. 33 (not $P$. australis Lamarck, An. s. vert., vi., (2), 1822, p.5; nor $P$. australis Rüppell, Reis. N. Afrika Moll., 1828, p.37). Scutus elongatus Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1S63, p.226, Pl.249, fig.10. S. anatinus var. "b" Smith, Journ. of Conch., ii., 1879, p. 237.

From King George's Sound, West Australia, the zoologists of the Astrolabe Expedition described a large species of Scutus, the shell of which is broader and flatter than the others. The name they used had previously been employed by Lamarck for a compound of the East Australian "antipodes" and the New Zealand "breviculus." This was reduced to the rank of a variety by Smith in 1879, and by Pilsbry in 1890. Now estimated as the Adelaidean representative of the Peronian autipodes, and restored to specific rank, it needs this fresh name.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Scutus antipodes Montfort. } \\
\text { (Plate xlvii., figs.7, 8, 9.) }
\end{gathered}
$$

Scutus antipodes Montfort, Conch. Syst., ii , 1810, p.59, Pl. xv. Patella ambigua Dillwyn, Cat. Recent Shells, ii., 1817, p. 1053 (not P. ambigua Gmelin, Syst. Nat., xiii., 1791, p.3255). Scutus anatinus Smith, Journ. of Conch., ii., 1879, p.258; Id., Tate, Journ. Roy. Soc. N.S.W., xxvii., 1893, p. 185 ; Id., Shirley, Proc. Roy. Soc. Q'land, xxiii., 1911, p.96. Parmophorus elongatus Blainville, Bull. Soc. Philom., 1817, p.25, and Malacol., 1827, Pl.48, fig.2; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.219; Id., Ten.Woods, Proc. Roy. Soc. Tasm., 1877, p. 44 (not P. elongatus Lamarck, 1801). Parmophorus convexus Quoy \& Gaim., Zool. Astrolabe, iii., 1834, p.322, Pl.69, figs.5-16; Id., Forbes, Voy. Rattlesnake, ii., 1852, p.362. Parmophorus tumidus A. Adams Proc. Zool. Soc., 1851 (1853), p.222. Parmophorus australis

Hogg, Trans. Roy. Mier. Soc., xvi., Pl. xii., fig.57. Id., von Martens, Forsch. Gazelle, iii., 1889, p. 263.

As Patella ambigua was already occupied by Gmelin in 1791, it is of no consequence whether the Patella ambigua of Chemnitz or of Dillwyn meant a species of Scutus from New Zealand or another Australian form. But the sketch of Montfort, inartistic though it be, exactly represents that Scutus with a narrow shell, inhabiting Tasmania and New South Wales, as distinguished from S. breviculus of New Zealand, or S. astrolabeus from West Australia. Therefore, S. antipodes of Montfort must replace the younger name of anatinus now in ordinary use.

The animal of this species lives beneath large stones in rockpools in clear water. Quoy d Gaimard mention that it was eaten by the aboriginals of Jervis Bay. The attitude of an allied species, so frequently copied in textbooks from the Zoology of the Astrolabe, is that of a moribund or preserved individual. An endeavour is here made to offer more life-like figures.

Except the sole of the foot, which is buff, the animal is eutirely coal-black. The mantle is very voluminous; two lateral lobes, like those of Cyprea, meet over the shell and quite conceal it. Only when handled or sick, do the lobes part and disclose the shell. The mantle also extends on each side behind the shell for a space the breadth of the foot. In front, over the head or each tentacle, an insinuation may temporarily appear. At rest (Fig.8) the animal assumes the shape of an inverted saucer, only the tips of the tentacles protruding beyond the cover of the mantle. The head is elongate, with a long and cylindrical muzzle usually expanded at the distal extremity. The tactile tentacles are long and stout, with a very short ocular tentacle at the outer base of each. From the base of each tentacle, along the epipodial line, runs a series of small, close-set, short lappets. The young differ considerably from the adult. 'they have a comparatively narrower shell with the apex more excentric; of a white colour, the mantle-lobes, instead of folding across the shell, merely curl over its edge. In a specimen half an inch long (Fig.9), the mantle was deeply notched above the head, and its lobes failed to meet across the shell. It was uniform
buff except the black eyes, which showed through the transparent mantle.

> Lucapinella nigrita Sowerby. (Plate xlvii., fig. 10. .)

Lacapinella nigrita Hedley, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xi., 1894, p. 24.

The above sketch was taken from a living specimen at Narooma, N.S.W. The animal has already been described in the reference above cited.

## Nerita melanotragus Smith.

(Plate xlviii., figs.13, 14.)
The nomenclature of this species has already been discussed in these Studies (anten, xxv., p.500).

The animal has narrow black stripes on a buff ground, along the muzzle and upper surface of the foot, the rest being buff. The muzzle is produced into a long and broad lip, fimbriated at the margin. When crawling, which is done with deliberation, the muzzle, expanded to the breadth of the foot, brushes along the ground in front. The ocular tentacle is a flat, triangular lobe grooved on the inner side for the reception of the long, slender, tactile tentacle. It is produced into a spur on the outer base, and is comected by an epipodial fringe with the opercular lobe. The mantle has two lobes, one above the operculum, the other spread below the base of the columella. The margin of it is plain, though in other species it is said to be festooned. In a considerable number of individuals examined, no intromittent organ was observed. The foot is rather small, rounded in front and behind. Sometimes, as in the figure, the gill-plume is protruded from the dorsal cavity till its tip reaches the aperture of the shell. The eggs are separate, white, oblong capsules with a continuous, tough membrane. Frequently, these are deposited on the shells of other individuals of the same species. The operculum (Fig.14) has a smooth, median, falcate area, on each side of which are small, crowded pustules; the convex margin has a membranous edge.

The radula has been illustrated by Maplestone,* from a Williamstown specimen.

## Phenacolepas cinnamomea Gould. <br> (Plate xlviii., figs.17, 18, 19.)

Patella cinnamomea Gould, Proc. Boston Soc. Nat. Hist., ii., 1ठ46, p.151. Scutellina cinnamomea Brazier, these Proceedings, jv., 1879 (1880), p.389. Phenacolepas cinnamomea Thiele, Conch. Cab. Abth. xia, 1909, p.35, Pl.6, fig.5. Scutellina ferruginea A. Adams, Gen. Rec. Moll +1854 , Pl.52, figs.6, 6a.

This species was described originally as a Patella, and was transferred by Adams to Scntellina. Remarking that this name of Gray was preoccupied, Pilsbry $\dagger$ substituted Phenacolepas for it. I have a grave suspicion that Plesiothyreus, Cossmann $\ddagger$ proposed for a French Tertiary fossil and applied by Sowerby§ to a recent Hong Kong shell, should be employed in its place.

Important remarks by Dr. Dall, \| referring this group to the vicinity of $N$ erita, seem to have been overlooked by subsequent writers.

Dr. Thiele has published some notes on the anatomy of this species.
$P$. cinnamomea is rather rare; it occurs in Sydney Harbour under large stones in the mud-zone, in communities of a dozen or so under the same rock. The animal is uniform crimson. The shell is carried with the apex turned to the posterior end. A large, open chamber is exposed behind the head, whence the broad, bipectinate ctenidium may be stretched beyond the shell margin or be withdrawn out of sight. The neck is long and flexible; the muzzle terminates in a bilobed upper lip, projecting as an immense hood over and beyond the small mouth. The

[^14]tentacles are long and slender, having an ocular bulb at their outer hase. From the eye, a crest of muscle runs backward to the shell. In the female(Fig. 19), there is a small lobe and sinus on the right side of this crest. But the male has a large, intromittent organ rooted on the median side of the right tentacle, and carried round below the eye to the back of the neck(Fig.18). There is no epipodium. Outside the mantle-margin there is a peripheral row of longer and shorter papillæ, corresponding to the radials of the shell; on further magnification, these papille are scen to be beaded.

Since writing the above, I have gathered $P$. cinnamomea under stones at the month of the Annam River, near Cooktown, Queensland.

The other Australian members of this genus are:-P. senta Hedley, 1899, March ( $=P$ '. lingua-viverre Melvill \& Standen, 1899, July); P. reticulata Thiele, 1909; P. mirabilis Sowerby, 1910; P. calva Verco, 1906; P. alboradinta Verco, 1906; P. crenulata Broderip, 1834; and P. galatheu Lamk., 1819.

Patelloida nigrosulcata Reeve.
Patella nigrosulcata Reeve, Conch. Icon., viii, 1855, Pl. xxx., fig.84. Acmerer patellavecta Verco, Trans. Roy. Soc. S.A., xxxvi., 1912, p.195, Pl. xv., figs.5-7; Pl. xvi., fig.5.

At the conclusion of an excellent description of this species, Dr. Verco noted that the West Australian material dealt with, resembled $P$. uigrosulcata, and might eventually prove to be that species. Mr. T. Tredale, under date $13 / 9 / 15$, writes, "Specimens of Verco's shell have been received at the British Museum, and I compared them, with Mr. Edgar A. Smith's assistance; we agree that the identity is absolute."

## Cerithium mysterium, nom.mut.

Cerithium tomlini Hedley, Proc. Linn. Soc. N.S. Wales, xxxix, 1914, p.717, Pl. 1xxxv., fig.89; not C'erithium tomlini Preston, Journ of Malacology, xii., 1905, p.3, Pl. i., figs.11, 11a.

Mr. J. K. le B. Tomlin, to whom this species was dedicated, has reminded me that, in this compliment, I have been antici-
pated by Mr. H. B. Preston. A new name, therefore, becomes necessary, and is here bestowed. I have lately found the species to be plentiful as dead shells on the beach of Lizard Island, North Queensland.

## Ancilla edithe Pritchard \& Gatliff.

Ancilla edithce Pritchard \& Gatliff; Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xi., 1899, p.181, Pl.29, fig.5.

This is a new record for this State. On 2nd February, 1916 , I dredged several specimens in $7-19$ fathoms, off the north end of Montagu Island, on sandy ground.

## Marginella mustelina Angas. <br> (Plate 1., fig.31.)

Marginella fasciata Sowerby, Thes. Conch., i., 1846, p.389, Pl.76, fig.142; Id., Chenu, Man. i., 1859, p.197, fig.1041; Id., Tomlin, The Nautilus, xxix., 1916, p. 138 (not Persicula fasciatu Schumacher, Essai nouv., 1817, p.235). . Hyalina mustelina Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1871, p.90, Pl. i., fig.5; Id., Oliver, Trans N. Z. Inst., xlvii., 1915, p.537. Volvarina rubrifasciata Jousseaume, Rev. et Mag. Zool., (3), iii., 1875, p.221.

This species lives under rocks on the ocean-heach. The animal is very active, coloured orange variegated with buff. There is no operculum. A papillate mantle closes over the shell. Foot in front notched, sometimes produced into lobes, behind pointed and projecting past the shell. Tentacles wide-spread, rather short and blunt, eyes sessile at the outer bases of the tentacles. Rostrum exserted more than half the length of the tentacles. The specimens drawn were obtained at Narooma, N.S. W., whence I have traced it north to Mast Head Island.

Conus coronatus Gmelin.
Conus coronctus Gmelin, Syst. Nat., xiii, 1791, p.3389; Id., Dillwyn., Descrip. Cat, i., 1817, p.403; Id., Hedley, these Proceedings, xxxii., 1907, p.484. Conus minimus Hwass, Encycl. Méth., vers (2), 1792, p.618; Id., Reeve, Conch. Icon., i., 1843 , Pl. xxvi., fig. 143; Id., Sowerby, Thes. Conch., iii., 1853, p.9, Pl. 189, figs-54, 55, Pl.191, figs.99, 111; Id., Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc.,

1877, p.184; Id., Brazier, Journ. of Conch., ii., 1879, p.190; Id., Smith, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1891, p.402; Id., Melvill \& Standen, Journ. Linn. Soc. Zool., xxvii., 1899, p. 156 (not Conns minimus Linné, Syst. Nat., x., 1758, p. 714 -sole citation, Argenville t.15, f.A $=$ Conus figuliuus Linné, - fide Hanley, Linn. Ips. Conch., 18555, p.169). Conus tceniatus Hwass, op. cit., p.628, Pl.319, fig.5. Conus miliaris Hwass, op. cit., p.629, Pl.319, fig.6. Comus barbadensis Hwass, op. cit., p 632, Pl.322, fig. 8 (not C.barbadensis of Reeve or of Sowerby, fide Kiener). Conus bandatus Perry, Conchology, 1811, Pl. xxv., fig.4. Conus tiaratus Broderip, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1833, p.52. Conus abbreviatus Reeve, Conch. Icon., i., 1843, Pl. xvi., fig.86. Conus aristophanes Sowerby Thes. Conch., iii., 1853, p.9, Pl.190, figs.81, 82.

Hanley pointed out that the original Conus minimus was clearly based on that shell which modern authors know as $C$. fignlinus. From the figures of Valentyn and Gualtier, a shell hitherto unnamed was correctly introduced by Gmelin as Corus coronatns. He also included other species, such as C. nobilis Limné. This synonymy was purified by Dillwyn. Appreciating the error of H wass, Smith referred to the species, in 1891, as $C$. minimus Auctorum. The natural inference that minimus meant "least," whereas it was a latinised form of "La Minime," meaning the monkish, perhaps countenanced the error of Hwass, mostly adopted by modern authors. It follows that C. figulinus, reported from Torres Strait by Melvill \& Standen (and recently taken by myself at Lucinda Point, Queensland) must now assume the name of minimus.

This tropical species descends into New South Wales. It was recorded from the Bellenger and Redbank Rivers by Angas and Brazier, and was recently taken at Woolgoolga by Mr. C. Laseron. Melvill, Standen, and Shirley have reported it from Murray Island, Smith from Port Essington, Brazier from Fitzroy Island, and the writer from Mast Head Island. It is one of the commonest and most widely dispersed shells in the tropical Pacific. It grows to a length of 45 mm ., and may combine the broken, dark spirals of aristophanes with the dot-pattern of miliaris, with the smooth crown of teniatus or the tubercular
summit of the type. The ground-colour may be in bands or clouds, the articulated dark and white spirals may be developed as broken lines or reduced to dots.

Mitra rhodia Reeve.
(Plate xlviii., figs. 15, 16.)
Notes on the nomenclature of this species have already appeared in these Studies (antea, Vol. xxxviii., p.313). It inhabits the sand and broken shells that litter the floor of the rock-pools. The long proboscis is probably used for sounding in the sand for its prey. Its movements are slow. There is no operculum. The colour of the animal is uniform cream, against which the small, black eyes are conspicuous. Foot long and narrow, pointed behind, squarely truncate in front. Head rhomboidal, broader anteriorly; tentacles rather short, apparently only partly contractile, widely spaced. When the proboscis is completely retracted, as in the specimen drawn, the head has somewhat the aspect from above of a cow's head and horns. The siphon is rather long. In the radula, the rachidian has four cusps, the outer smaller and divergent. The lateral has a long, oblong base slightly sinuate posteriorly, with about fifteen cusps, the inner directed towards the rachidian, the second and third largest, the rest gradually diminishing to minute exterior denticles.

## Maculotriton australis Pease.

$$
\text { (Plate l., figs. } 28,29,30 .)
$$

The local members of this genus were discussed antea, Vol xxxix, p.733. M. australis haunts the shaded sides of boulders at low-water level on the ocean-beach. The animals creep about with moderate activity; they are marbled with black and buff The head is narrow, forking into divergent tentacles which support eyes at half their length, above which point the tentacles contract to half their former thickness. Siphon rather short, only protruded for a length equal to three or four diameters. Foot long and slender. Operculum (Fig.29) with the nucleus apical, situated about its own length from the tip of the tail.

The radula (Fig.30) has a rachidian with arched base, three median pointed cusps and a small external one; laterals bicuspid, the inner cusp half the size of its fellow, with two small denticles on its inner blade, the outer cusp slender and falcate.

Arcularia particeps Hedley.
(Plate xlix., fig.20.)
This species was named, antea, Vol. xxxix., p.738. The animal lives sunk beneath the surface of the sand. Into a pool where no Arcularia were visible, a few crushed shellfish were thrown; a few minutes afterwards a number of individuals, including the subject of my sketch, appeared in various directions, all steadily crawling towards the bait. The animal of A. particeps is particularly bold and active. When lifted out of the water by the shell, the animal twists and kicks about with much vigour. The colour of it is cream irregularly splashed with black.

## Aymene hanleyi Angas. <br> (Plate xlix., figs.21, 22, 23, 24.)

T'roplon hanleyi Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.110, Pl.xiii., fig. 1.

In previous papers, I have figured the young shell of this, under the title of T'rophon paicce (antea, Vol. xxxiii., p.456), and later noted that Tryon made a mistake in subordinating ' $T$ '. hanleyi to T'. paivee (antea, Vol. xxxviii., p.329). For this group, Hutton introduced the genus Kalydon, but Iredale, on the ground that Kalydon was preoccupied, has substituted Xymene.*

The animal (Fig.21) is very common under stones in the mudzone in Sydney Harbour, where it is notorious as an oyster-pest. $\dagger$ The eggs (Figs.23, 24) are laid in separate packets, each packet round, about 5 mm . in diameter, rather flatter than hemispherical, with a central circular orifice about 1.5 mm . across. The ova are visible both through the orifice and through the semitransparent membrane. These eggs are deposited on the under surface of shells and stones. So crowded are they, that Mr. T.

[^15]Dick, who kindly furnished me with the material drawn, writes, under date 13th July, 1915, that, in an infested area in Port Macquarie, the stones were then almost white with the ora of this borer.

Planispira strangulata Hombron \& Jacquinot.
Helix strangulata Hombron \& Jacquinot, Ann. Sci. Nat., (2). xvi., 1841, p.64. Planispira cyclostomata Hedley, Rec. Austr. Mus., viii., 1912, p.155, Pl xlv., figs.51-54.

A preliminary paper by Hombron \& Jacquinot, describing the new shells obtained by the Astrolabe and Zélée Expedition, was lately discovered by that active bibliophile, Mr. Tom Iredale. In the official account of that expedition by Rousseau, and in unotticial work by Le Guillou, a member of it, this memoir is ignored. It has also been overlooked by Pfeiffer, Reeve, Tryon, von Martens, Smith, and every writer on the subject.

Examining the nomenclature of this species, in 1912, I concluded that the name to be adopted for this shell from Warrior Island was Helix cyclostomata, published by Le Guillou in 1842. It now appears that the name of strangulata was published a year earlier, instead of many years later, than cyclostomatu.

Another consequence of the establishment of $1 /$. strangulata as dating from 1841, is that $H$. stranyulata, proposed by C. B. Adams in 1849, becomes invalid.

Planispira torresiana Hombron \& Jacquinot.
Helix torresiana Hombron \& Jacquinot, Ann. Sci. Nat., (2), xvi., 1841, p.63. Helix delessertiana Le Guillou, Rev. Zool., v., 1842, p.138; Id., Pilsbry, Man. Conch., 2nd ser., ix., 1894, p.114.

Here it again becomes necessary to restore the older but forgotten name. In his independent publication of the new species obtained by the expedition, Dr. Le Guillou seems to have been either careless or disloyal.

## Xanthomelon durvillii Hombron \& Jacquinot.

Helix Durvillii Hombron \& Jacquinot, Ann. Sci. Nat., (2), xvi., 1841, p.62. Helix pomum Pfeiffer, Symbolie hist. Heli-
ceorum, ii., 1842, p.37.; Id., Pilsbry, Man. Conch., '2nd ser., vi., 1890, p.178, Pl.38, figs.73, 74.

Here again, the name first proposed by the circumnavigators precedes that in current use. It is a pleasure to reinstate in Australian zoological nomenclature the name of this unfortunate and gallant explorer.

Marseniopsis wilsoni Smith.
Lamellaria wilsori Smith, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist, (5), xviii, 1886, p.270, text-fig.; Id., Wilson, Vict. Nat., iv., 1887, p.117; Id., Pritchard \& Gatliff, Proc. Roy. Soc. Vict., xii., 1900, p. 196. Marseniopsis wilsoni Vayssière, Exped. Antarct. Franc. Charcot, 1907, Moll., p. 35.

Typically southern is the genus Marseniopsis, first introduced by Bergh for two subantarctic species taken by the Challenger Expedition, M. pacifica, from Kerguelen, and M. murrayi, from Marion Island. A pair of Antarctic forms, M. conica and $M$. mollis, were discovered near Cape Adare, Adélie Land, while a fifth, M. antarctica, was dredged by Dr. Charcot off Wandel Island.

Discussing the distribution of the group in relation to the latter species, Prof. Vayssière points out that the Australian Lamellaria wilsoni should be here included. In the British Museum, there is a single specimen of $L$. wilsoni, presented by Mr. J. B. Wilson, and marked "type.'

I now suggest that a second Australian member is Caledoniella contusiformis Basedow.*

> Phytia ornata Férussac.
> (Plate l., figs. $26,27$. )

The nomenclature of this species was discussed (antea, xxxviii., p.334) under the heading of Ophicardelus ornatus.

Its habits are to associate with Rhodostoma, Salinator, and Assemania in the Salicornia-zone, that is, just below high-water level in sheltered estuarine swamps, either in the open or under the shade of the Avicennia-mangrove. At low tide, the Phytia

[^16]crawls over the mud at a fair pace; if placed in a vessel of seawater, it soon creeps out, and always moves steadily away from the light.

The foot is small and narrow for the size of the shell: there is no operculum. The facial area is darker in colour, and covered with finer tubercles than the rest of the animal; it is marked off from the foot by a groove on each side. When the animal is extended, the tentacles are planted well apart, but seem to spring from contiguous bases when it is contracted. They are subcylindrical, slightly tapering, blunt at the tips, contractile, not evaginate. The eyes are smok within the substance of the tentacle. Near the tip of the muzzle are two, white, oblong marks that may represent the smaller tentacles of the Helicidæ.

The muzzle is musually broad, being as wide as the foot. Sometimes it is emarginate in front, and usually recurved at the margins. The mouth is in the centre of a large, labial dise. Between this disc and the fore-part of the foot is a shallow pouch.

> Siphonaria scabra Reeve.
> (Plate l., fig. 32. )

Siphonaria scabra Reeve, Conch. Icon., ix., 1856, Pl.i., fig.2.
This species occurs on sheltered rocks at low water. It does not cling as firmly to the rock as a limpet does, and, when upset on its back, finds more difficulty in turning over. On the right is a free lobe of the mantle, sometimes rolled in a funnel or spread in a flap, and reaching to the edge of the shell. 'The head is devoid of any trace of tentacles; the eyes are small, and sunk under the surface. The muzzle is spotted with black; it projects a little past the foot, and terminates in a broad, mobile, upper lip, which may assume a notch in front and recurved corners at the side. Beneath it is the mouth. The foot is spotted on the side and is of the ordinary limpet-shape.

## Khizorus.

Khizorus Montfort, Conch. Syst., ii., 1810, p.339, Pl. lxxxv., for $R$. adelaidis Montfort, = Bulla acuminata Bruguière, 1792. Volvula A. Adams, in Sowerby, Thes. Conch., ii, 1850, pp.5̄́s,

596, for B. acuminata, etc. Volvulella Newton, Syst. List. Brit. Oligocene, Eocene Moll., 1891, pp. xii., 268, for Volvula Adams, not Oken, 1815.

Some discussion has occurred over the validity of the name Volvula. On the ground that Volvulus Oken, 1815, preoccupied Volvula Adams, 1850 , Mr. R. Bullen Newton replaced it, in 1891, with a new name, Volvulella. But, as Dr. H. A. Pilsbry considered that it was not thus invalidated, he employed Volvula in his monograph of the genus in the Manual of Conchology, in 1893.

Neither of these authorities seems to liave seriously considered the claim of Rhizorus. As early as 1810, Rhizorus adelaidis was legitimately proposed by Montfort, for a shell the size of a grain of millet, found on a sandy beach at Porto Ferrajo, in the island of Elba, Italy. From a rough, reversed, but recognisable woodcut, it seems clear that $R$. adeluidis is Bulla acuminatn, Bruguière, 1792,* because that is the only Mediterranean shell which corresponds in size and contour. This is itself the type, both of Volvela and of Volvulella so, as was indicated sixty years ago by Menke, $\dagger$ Rhizorus must be given precedence. 't he A ustralian species concerned in this change of nomenclature are Volvula rostrata A. Adams, V. sulcata Watson, and V. tragula Hedley.

> Odostomia pascoei Angas.
> (Plate xlvi., fig.5.)

Odostomia pascoei Angas, Proc Zool. Soc., 1867, p.112, Pl. xii., fig. 12.

In the Natural History Museum at South Kensington, I examined a single specimen, marked as the type of $O$. pascreie and seven specimens marked as types of $O$. kreffti Angas. These two agree in all particulars, except. that $O$. pascoei has an addi tional whorl, and a corresponding increase in length and breadtb. Since it is the adult which $O$. pascrei represents, and since that

[^17]name also happens to have page-precedence, it is recommended that $O$. kireffit be reduced to synonymy.

The species haunts crevices on the under surface of loose rocks between tide-marks. When kept in an aquarium, it endeavours to creep from the light to the darkest corner available. The colour is uniform cream, the foot truncate or emarginate. The rhinophores are folded, narrow, pointed and divaricate; beneath and between these are two falcate processes. The eyes are black, close together in the median line, just behind the junction of the rhinophores. The external appearance suggests that a natural classification would group the family Pyramideilide in Opisthobranchiata near the Acteonidx.

## Dolabrifera brazieri sowerby.

(Plate xlix., fig. 25.)
Dolabrifera brazieri sowerby, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1870, p.250; Id., Angas,op.cit., 1871, p.98. Dolabrifera jacksoniensis Pilsbry, Man of Conch., 1896, p.120, Pl.44, figs.3と, 39, 40, 41.

The haunt of this species is the coralline zone of the oceanrocks, where form and colour tend to conceal it against its native background. In extension, the animal is about four inches long, and one and a half broad. The colour is olive-brown, variegated with buff, and tinged, at the margin and on the tentacles and rhinophores, with green. Upon the back are about a score of warty protuberances, which rise or subside at the will of the animal, and from the summit of which a white filament may project for two or three millimeters, or be withdrawn.

The tentacles are comparatively short and broad, bell-shaped, sulit nearly to the base, with ragged margin. The rhinophores are narrow, more cylindrical, less deeply notched, set farther back on the neck. Just in front of these are the sessile, incon spicuous, black eyes.

The posterior orifice is set far back, is ovate, about 6 mm . long, with erect margins and an inner lobe rising at the anterior end. In front of this, the right side of the mantle overlaps the left. The gill is never exserted.

Only one species of this genus is known lucally. Mr. Brazier, who collected the type-specinens, agrees with me that $D$. jacksoniensis probably represents the young of the unfigured $D$. brazieri. The specimen drawn, I gathered at Long Reef. I have also seen the species at Maroubra.

## Exilanation of plates Xlvi.-LII.

Plate xlvi.
Fig. I.-Nolecardia cryptozoica Hedley; animal expanded.
Fig.2.-Murcia nitita Quoy \& Gaimard.
Fig.3.-Orifice of inhalant siphon of same.
Fig.4.-Amphidesma angusta Reeve.
Fig. J.-Odostomia puscoei Angas.
Fig.6.-Hemitoma aspera Gould.

> Plate xlvii.

Fig, 7.-Scutus antipodes Montfort, crawling.
Fig.8. -The same at rest.
Fig.9.-Young stage of same.
Fig.10.-Lucapinella nigrita Sowerby.
Fig.11.-Gena strigosa A. Adams.
Fig.12.-Monodonta obtuea Dillwyn.
Plate xlviii.
Fig. 13.-Nerita melanotragus Smith.
Fig. 14.-Operculum of same.
Fig. 15.-Mitra rhodia Reeve.
Fig. 16. - Radula of same.
Fig. 17.- Phenacolepax cinnamomea Gould.
Fig.18.-Intromittent organ of same individual.
Fig.19.-Head of female $P$ '. cinnemomen, the lip expanded above the pedal mucous sland.

> Plate xlix.

Fig.231.-Arcularian mentictpe Hedley.
Fig.21.-Xymene hunleyi Angas.
Fig.22.-Operculum of young $\lambda$. hanleyi, the muscle-scars visible through its substance.
Fig.23.-Cluster of ova of X. henleyi.
Fig.24. - A single egg-capsule further enlarged.
Fig.25.-Dolabrifera brazieri Sowerby, also detail sketch of tubercle and exserted filament.

Plate 1.
Fig.26.-Phytia ornata Férussac.
Fig.27.-The same from below:
Fig.28.-Maculotriton australis Pease.
Fig. 29.-Operculum of same.
Fig. 30.-Radula of same.
Fig. 31.-Marginella mustelina Angas.
Fig.32.-Siphonaria scalira Reeve.

## Plate li.

Figs.33, 34, 35.-Arca botunica Hedley.
Figs. 36, 37.-A Arca metella Hedley.
Figs.38, 39.-Lucinda hilaira Hedley. Fig. 41.- Ǎolecardia cryptozoica Hedley.

Plate lii.
Fig. 11.-Cardium cyymorum Deshayes.
Figs. 42, 43.-Tellina astula Hedley.
Fig. 44. - Tugalia intermedia Reeve.
Fig.4..-T'ugalia parmophoidea Quoy \& ( taimard .
Fig. 46. -Tugalia cicatricosa A. Adams.
Fig. 47. - Tugalia bascanda Hedley.


[^0]:    * Barbatia laminata Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 186i5, p.655. ?= Arca irudinu Lamarck, An. s. vert., vi., 1819, p.41; Lamy, Journ. de Conch., 1v., p.s0.

[^1]:    * Delessert, Recueil, 1841, Pl. xiii., figs.5, a, b. Chenu, Illustr. Conch., 1846, Pl. 5 , figs.2, 2a, 2b, 2c.
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    + Cooke, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (5), xviii., 1886, p. 96.

[^3]:    * Annandale and Kemp, Mem. Indian Museum. v., 1910, p.351.
    $\dagger$ Forbes, Voy. Rattlesnake, ii., 1852, p.366. Angas, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1867, p.925. Smith, Chall. Rep. Zool., xii., 1885, p. 159.

[^4]:    * Brock, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (6), i., 1888, p. 435.
    + Couk's First Vuyage, iii., 1783, p.566.

[^5]:    * Rumphius, Amboin. Rariteitkamer, 1741, p. 139. † Hanley, Ips. Linn. Conch., 18j5, p. 78.

[^6]:    * Jukes Browne, Proc. Mal. Soc., xi., 1914, p.66.
    † Braner, S. B. Akad. Wien, lxxvii., 1878, p. 132. $\ddagger$ Adams, Proc. Zool. Soc., 1852, Pl. xv., fig. 4.

[^7]:    * J. Browne, Proc. Malac. Soc., viii., 1909, p.233; and xi., 1914, p. 87.

[^8]:    * Suwerby, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist., (7), xii., 1303, p. 500.

[^9]:    * Hanley, Ips. Linn. Conch., 1854, p. 425.

[^10]:    * Gray, Guide to the Systematic Distribution of Mollusca in the British Museum, Part i., 1857, p. 163.

[^11]:    * A. Adams, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. (3), vi., 1860, p. 112 ,

[^12]:    * Iredale, Trans. N.Z, Inst., xlvii., 1915, p. 433. $\dagger$ Hedley, Journ. Roy. Soc. N. S. Wales, xlix., 1915̄, p.66, Pl.5.

[^13]:    * Fischer, Coq. Viv., 1880, Pl. i.

[^14]:    * Maplestone, Month. Micros. Journ., viii., 1872, p.14, Pl. xxvi.. No. 14. $\dagger$ Pilsbry, The Nautilus, v., 1891, p. 89.
    $\ddagger$ Cossmann, Ann. Soc. Malac. Belg., xxiii., 1889, p.191, Pl. vii., figs.13-15. SSowerlyy, Proc. Malac. Soc., i., 1894, p. 191. Dall, Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., xviii., 1889, p. 342.
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